



$\eta^G(J^PC) = 0^+(0^-+)$

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. The omitted results may be found in our 1988 edition Physics Letters **B204** (1988).

η MASS

Recent measurements resolve the obvious inconsistency in previous η mass measurements in favor of the higher value first reported by NA48 (LAI 02). We use only precise measurements consistent with this higher mass value for our η mass average.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
547.862±0.017 OUR AVERAGE				
547.865±0.031±0.062		NIKOLAEV	14	CRYB $\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$
547.873±0.005±0.027	1M	GOSLAWSKI	12	SPEC $d p \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta$
547.874±0.007±0.029		AMBROSINO	07B	KLOE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
547.785±0.017±0.057	16k	MILLER	07	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$
547.843±0.030±0.041	1134	LAI	02	NA48 $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
547.311±0.028±0.032		¹ ABDEL-BARY	05	SPEC $d p \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta$
547.12 ± 0.06 ± 0.25		KRUSCHE	95D	SPEC $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$, threshold
547.30 ± 0.15		PLOUIN	92	SPEC $d p \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta$
547.45 ± 0.25		DUANE	74	SPEC $\pi^- p \rightarrow n$ neutrals
548.2 ± 0.65		FOSTER	65C	HBC
549.0 ± 0.7	148	FOELSCHE	64	HBC
548.0 ± 1.0	91	ALFF-...	62	HBC
549.0 ± 1.2	53	BASTIEN	62	HBC

¹ ABDEL-BARY 05 disagrees significantly with recent measurements of similar or better precision. See comment in the header.

η WIDTH

This is the partial decay rate $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ divided by the fitted branching fraction for that mode. See the note at the start of the $\Gamma(2\gamma)$ data block, next below.

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID
1.31±0.05 OUR FIT	

η DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor/ Confidence level
Neutral modes		
Γ_1 neutral modes	$(72.12 \pm 0.34) \%$	S=1.2
Γ_2 2γ	$(39.41 \pm 0.20) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_3 $3\pi^0$	$(32.68 \pm 0.23) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_4 $\pi^0 2\gamma$	$(2.56 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_5 $2\pi^0 2\gamma$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
Γ_6 4γ	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_7 invisible	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Charged modes		
Γ_8 charged modes	$(28.10 \pm 0.34) \%$	S=1.2
Γ_9 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	$(22.92 \pm 0.28) \%$	S=1.2
Γ_{10} $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	$(4.22 \pm 0.08) \%$	S=1.1
Γ_{11} $e^+ e^- \gamma$	$(6.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$	S=1.3
Γ_{12} $\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$(3.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{13} $e^+ e^-$	$< 2.3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{14} $\mu^+ \mu^-$	$(5.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-6}$	
Γ_{15} $2e^+ 2e^-$	$(2.40 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_{16} $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- (\gamma)$	$(2.68 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{17} $e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{18} $2\mu^+ 2\mu^-$	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{19} $\mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$< 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{20} $\pi^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e + \text{c.c.}$	$< 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{21} $\pi^+ \pi^- 2\gamma$	$< 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{22} $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$	$< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{23} $\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$< 3 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Charge conjugation (C), Parity (P), Charge conjugation \times Parity (CP), or Lepton Family number (LF) violating modes		
Γ_{24} $\pi^0 \gamma$	C $< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{25} $\pi^+ \pi^-$	P,CP $< 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{26} $2\pi^0$	P,CP $< 3.5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{27} $2\pi^0 \gamma$	C $< 5 \times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
Γ_{28} $3\pi^0 \gamma$	C $< 6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{29} 3γ	C $< 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{30} $4\pi^0$	P,CP $< 6.9 \times 10^{-7}$	CL=90%
Γ_{31} $\pi^0 e^+ e^-$	C [a] $< 4 \times 10^{-5}$	CL=90%
Γ_{32} $\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	C [a] $< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%
Γ_{33} $\mu^+ e^- + \mu^- e^+$	LF $< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	CL=90%

[a] C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 2 decay rate and 19 branching ratios uses 50 measurements and one constraint to determine 9 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 43.8$ for 42 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

x_3	24							
x_4	4	1						
x_9	-73	-80	-4					
x_{10}	-56	-60	-3	61				
x_{11}	-5	-5	0	-6	-4			
x_{12}	-1	0	0	-1	0	0		
x_{16}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Γ	-14	-3	-32	11	8	1	0	0
	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_9	x_{10}	x_{11}	x_{12}	x_{16}

Mode	Rate (keV)	Scale factor
Γ_2 2γ	0.515 ± 0.018	
Γ_3 $3\pi^0$	0.427 ± 0.015	
Γ_4 $\pi^0 2\gamma$	$(3.34 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_9 $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$	0.299 ± 0.011	
Γ_{10} $\pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$	0.0551 ± 0.0022	
Γ_{11} $e^+ e^- \gamma$	0.0090 ± 0.0006	1.2
Γ_{12} $\mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$	$(4.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$	
Γ_{16} $\pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^- (\gamma)$	$(3.50 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-4}$	

η DECAY RATES

$\Gamma(2\gamma)$

Γ_2

See the table immediately above giving the fitted decay rates. Following the advice of NEFKENS 02, we have removed the Primakoff-effect measurement from the average. See also the “Note on the Decay Width $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$,” in our 1994 edition, Phys. Rev. D**50**, 1 August 1994, Part I, p. 1451, for a discussion of the various measurements.

VALUE (keV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.515 ± 0.018 OUR FIT				
0.516 ± 0.018 OUR AVERAGE				
$0.520 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.013$		BABUSCI	13A	KLOE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
$0.51 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.05$	36	BARU	90	MD1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$
$0.490 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.048$	2287	ROE	90	ASP $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$

$0.514 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.035$	1295	WILLIAMS	88	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$	
$0.53 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$		BARTEL	85E	JADE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •						
0.476 ± 0.062		¹ RODRIGUES	08	CNTR	Reanalysis	
$0.64 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.13$		AIHARA	86	TPC	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$	
0.56 ± 0.16	56	WEINSTEIN	83	CBAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \eta$	
0.324 ± 0.046		BROWMAN	74B	CNTR	Primakoff effect	
1.00 ± 0.22		² BEMPORAD	67	CNTR	Primakoff effect	

¹ RODRIGUES 08 uses a more sophisticated calculation for the inelastic background due to incoherent photoproduction to reanalyze the η photoproduction data on Be and Cu at 9 GeV from BROWMAN 74B. This brings the value of $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)$ in line with direct measurements of the width. The error here is only statistical.

² BEMPORAD 67 gives $\Gamma(2\gamma) = 1.21 \pm 0.26$ keV assuming $\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total}) = 0.314$. Bemporad private communication gives $\Gamma(2\gamma)^2/\Gamma(\text{total}) = 0.380 \pm 0.083$. We evaluate this using $\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total}) = 0.38 \pm 0.01$. Not included in average because the uncertainty resulting from the separation of the coulomb and nuclear amplitudes has apparently been underestimated.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)$		Γ_4			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.334 ± 0.028 OUR FIT					
0.33 ± 0.03	1200	NEFKENS	14	CRYB	$\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$

η BRANCHING RATIOS

Neutral modes

$\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		$\Gamma_1/\Gamma = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)/\Gamma$			
VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
0.7212 ± 0.0034 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
0.705 ± 0.008	16k	BASILE	71D	CNTR	MM spectrometer
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
0.79 ± 0.08		BUNIATOV	67	OSPK	

$\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$		Γ_2/Γ			
VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
39.41 ± 0.20 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
39.49 $\pm 0.17 \pm 0.30$	65k	ABEGG	96	SPEC	$pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} \eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
38.45 $\pm 0.40 \pm 0.36$	14k	¹ LOPEZ	07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$

¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.796 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.016$	ACHASOV	00	SND	See ACHASOV 00D
0.91 ± 0.14	COX	70B	HBC	
0.75 ± 0.09	DEVONS	70	OSPK	
0.88 ± 0.16	BALTAY	67D	DBC	
1.1 ± 0.2	CENCE	67	OSPK	
1.25 ± 0.39	BACCI	63	CNTR	Inverse BR reported

¹ Uses result from AKHMETSHIN 01B.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_4/Γ

Early results are summarized in the review by LANDSBERG 85.

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	------	-------------	------	---------

2.56 ± 0.22 OUR FIT

$2.21 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.47$ ≈ 500 ¹ PRAKHOV 08 CRYB $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n \approx$ threshold

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$3.5 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	1.6k	^{2,3} PRAKHOV	05	CRYB	See PRAKHOV 08
<8.4	90	7	ACHASOV	01D	SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$
<30	90	0	DAVYDOV	81	GAM2 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

¹ PRAKHOV 08 is a reanalysis of the data of PRAKHOV 05, using for the first time the invariant-mass spectrum of the two photons.

² Normalized using $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma)/\Gamma = 0.3943 \pm 0.0026$.

³ This measurement and the independent analysis of the same data by KNECHT 04 both imply a lower value of $\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)$ than the one obtained by ALDE 84 from $\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$.

$\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma(2\gamma)$

Γ_4/Γ_2

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

0.65 ± 0.06 OUR FIT

1.8 ± 0.4 ALDE 84 GAM2 0

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.5 ± 0.6 70 BINON 82 GAM2 See ALDE 84

$\Gamma(\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma(3\pi^0)$

Γ_4/Γ_3

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

7.8 ± 0.7 OUR FIT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$8.3 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.4$ ¹ KNECHT 04 CRYB $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$

¹ Independent analysis of same data as PRAKHOV 05.

$\Gamma(2\pi^0 2\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_5/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

$<1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 ¹ NEFKENS 05A CRYB $p(720 \text{ MeV}/c) \pi^- \rightarrow n\eta$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ 90 BLIK 07 GAM4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

¹ Measurement is done in limited $\gamma\gamma$ energy range.

$\Gamma(4\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_6/Γ

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-----	-------------	------	---------

$<2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ 90 BLIK 07 GAM4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta n$

$\Gamma(\text{invisible})/\Gamma(2\gamma)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_7/Γ_2
$<2.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	¹ ABLIKIM	13	BES3 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$<1.65 \times 10^{-3}$	90	² ABLIKIM	06Q	BES2 $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta$	

¹ Based on 225M J/ψ decays.

² Based on 58M J/ψ decays.

Charged modes

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_9/Γ
22.92 ± 0.28 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
$22.60 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.29$	3915	¹ LOPEZ	07	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$	
¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.					

$\Gamma(\text{neutral modes})/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

$$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_9 = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4)/\Gamma_9$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
3.15 ± 0.05 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.	
3.26 ± 0.30 OUR AVERAGE			
2.54 ± 1.89			
3.4 ± 1.1	74	KENDALL	74
2.83 ± 0.80	29	AGUILAR-...	OSPK
3.6 ± 0.6	70	¹ BLOODWO...	HBC
2.89 ± 0.56	244	FLATTE	72B
3.6 ± 0.8		ALFF-...	HBC
3.8 ± 1.1	50	KRAEMER	66
		PAULI	DBC

¹ Error increased from published value 0.5 by Bloodworth (private communication).

$\Gamma(2\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

$$\Gamma_2/\Gamma_9$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_2/Γ_9
1.720 ± 0.028 OUR FIT		Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1.70 ± 0.04 OUR AVERAGE					
¹ LOPEZ 07 reports $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \Gamma_9/\Gamma_2 = 0.587 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.009$.					
1.704 ± 0.032 ± 0.026	3915	¹ LOPEZ	07	CLEO $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$	
1.61 ± 0.14		ABLIKIM	06E	BES2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
1.78 ± 0.10 ± 0.13	1077	AMSLER	95	CBAR $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ at rest	
1.72 ± 0.25	401	BAGLIN	69	HLBC	
1.61 ± 0.39		FOSTER	65	HBC	

¹ LOPEZ 07 reports $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0) / \Gamma(\eta \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \Gamma_9/\Gamma_2 = 0.587 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.009$.

$\Gamma(3\pi^0)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

Γ_3/Γ_9

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.426 ± 0.026 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.			
1.48 ± 0.05 OUR AVERAGE				
1.46 ± 0.03 ± 0.09		ACHASOV 06A	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1.52 ± 0.04 ± 0.08	23k	¹ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1.44 ± 0.09 ± 0.10	1627	AMSLER 95	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\eta$ at rest
1.50 ± 0.15 - 0.29	199	BAGLIN 69	HLBC	
1.47 ± 0.20 - 0.17		BULLOCK 68	HLBC	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1.3 ± 0.4		BAGLIN 67B	HLBC	
0.90 ± 0.24		FOSTER 65	HBC	
2.0 ± 1.0		FOELSCHE 64	HBC	
0.83 ± 0.32		CRAWFORD 63	HBC	

¹ AKMETSHIN 01B uses results from AKHMETSHIN 99F.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/[\Gamma(2\gamma) + \Gamma(3\pi^0)]$

$\Gamma_9/(\Gamma_2+\Gamma_3)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.318 ± 0.005 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.2.		
0.304 ± 0.012	ACHASOV 00D		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.3141 ± 0.0081 ± 0.0058	ACHASOV 00B	SND	See ACHASOV 00D

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
4.22 ± 0.08 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.96 ± 0.14 ± 0.14	859	¹ LOPEZ 07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$

¹ Not independent of other results listed for LOPEZ 07. Assuming decays of $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $3\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$, and $e^+e^-\gamma$ account for all η decays within a contribution of 0.3% to the systematic error.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$

Γ_{10}/Γ_9

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.1842 ± 0.0027 OUR FIT				
0.1847 ± 0.0030 OUR AVERAGE	Error includes scale factor of 1.1.			
0.1856 ± 0.0005 ± 0.0028	200k	BABUSCI 13	KLOE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
0.175 ± 0.007 ± 0.006	859	LOPEZ 07	CLEO	$\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.209 ± 0.004	18k	THALER 73	ASPK	
0.201 ± 0.006	7250	GORMLEY 70	ASPK	
0.28 ± 0.04		BALTAY 67B	DBC	
0.25 ± 0.035		LITCHFIELD 67	DBC	
0.30 ± 0.06		CRAWFORD 66	HBC	
0.196 ± 0.041		FOSTER 65C	HBC	

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
$< 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	90	MARTYNOW	76
$< 8.4 \times 10^{-4}$	90	BAZIN	68
$< 70 \times 10^{-4}$		RITTENBERG	65
			HBC

Γ_{31}/Γ

$\Gamma(\pi^0 e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)$

C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$< 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90		JANE	75
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$< 42 \times 10^{-4}$	90		BAGLIN	67
$< 16 \times 10^{-4}$	90	0	BILLING	67
$< 77 \times 10^{-4}$		0	FOSTER	65B
$< 110 \times 10^{-4}$			PRICE	65
				HBC

Γ_{31}/Γ_9

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

C parity forbids this to occur as a single-photon process.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 5 \times 10^{-6}$	90	DZHELYADIN	81	SPEC
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
$< 500 \times 10^{-6}$		WEHMANN	68	OSPK

Γ_{32}/Γ

$[\Gamma(\mu^+ e^-) + \Gamma(\mu^- e^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

Forbidden by lepton family number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$< 6 \times 10^{-6}$	90	WHITE	96	SPEC

Γ_{33}/Γ

η C-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETERS

$\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ LEFT-RIGHT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

Measurements with an error $> 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ have been omitted.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
$0.09^{+0.11}_{-0.12}$ OUR AVERAGE			
$+0.09 \pm 0.10^{+0.09}_{-0.14}$	1.34M	AMBROSINO	08D
0.28 ± 0.26	165k	JANE	74
-0.05 ± 0.22	220k	LAYER	72
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
1.5 ± 0.5	37k	¹ GORMLEY	68C
		ASPK	

¹ The GORMLEY 68C asymmetry is probably due to unmeasured ($\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$) spark chamber effects. New experiments with ($\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$) controls don't observe an asymmetry.

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ SEXTANT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

Measurements with an error $> 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ have been omitted.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
0.12$^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$ OUR AVERAGE			
+0.08 ± 0.10	$^{+0.08}_{-0.13}$	1.34M	AMBROSINO 08D KLOE
0.20 ± 0.25	165k	JANE 74 OSPK	
0.10 ± 0.22	220k	LAYER 72 ASPK	
0.5 ± 0.5	37k	GORMLEY 68C WIRE	

$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ QUADRANT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-0.09± 0.09 OUR AVERAGE			
-0.05 ± 0.10	$^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	1.34M	AMBROSINO 08D KLOE
-0.30 ± 0.25	165k	JANE 74 OSPK	
-0.07 ± 0.22	220k	LAYER 72 ASPK	

$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ LEFT-RIGHT ASYMMETRY PARAMETER

Measurements with an error $> 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ have been omitted.

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
0.9 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
1.2 ± 0.6	35k	JANE 74B OSPK	
0.5 ± 0.6	36k	THALER 72 ASPK	
1.22 ± 1.56	7257	GORMLEY 70 ASPK	

$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ PARAMETER β (*D*-wave)

Sensitive to a *D*-wave contribution: $dN/d\cos\theta = \sin^2\theta (1 + \beta \cos^2\theta)$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-0.02 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE			
		Error includes scale factor of 1.3.	
0.11 ± 0.11	35k	JANE 74B OSPK	
-0.060 ± 0.065	7250	GORMLEY 70 WIRE	

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12 ± 0.06 ¹ THALER 72 ASPK

¹ The authors don't believe this indicates *D*-wave because the dependence of β on the γ energy is inconsistent with the theoretical prediction. A $\cos^2\theta$ dependence can also come from *P*- and *F*-wave interference.

η CP-NONCONSERVING DECAY PARAMETER

$\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$ DECAY-PLANE ASYMMETRY PARAMETER A_ϕ

In the η rest frame, the total momentum of the e^+e^- pair is equal and opposite to that of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair. Let \hat{z} be the unit vector along the momentum of the e^+e^- pair; let \hat{n}_{ee} and $\hat{n}_{\pi\pi}$ be the unit vectors normal to the e^+e^- and $\pi^+\pi^-$ planes; and let ϕ be the angle between the two normals. Then

$$\sin\phi \cos\phi = [(\hat{n}_{ee} \times \hat{n}_{\pi\pi}) \cdot \hat{z}] (\hat{n}_{ee} \cdot \hat{n}_{\pi\pi}),$$

and

$$A_\phi = \frac{N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi > 0} - N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi < 0}}{N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi > 0} + N_{\sin\phi \cos\phi < 0}}.$$

VALUE (units 10^{-2})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.6±2.5±1.8	1555 ± 52	AMBROSINO 09B	KLOE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

ENERGY DEPENDENCE OF $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$ DALITZ PLOTS

PARAMETERS FOR $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition, Phys. Rev. D**50**, 1 August 1994, Part I, p. 1454. The following experiments fit to one or more of the coefficients a , b , c , d , or e for $|\text{matrix element}|^2 = 1 + ay + by^2 + cx + dx^2 + exy$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

174k	ADLARSON	14A	WASA	$pd \rightarrow \eta^3\text{He}$
1.34M	AMBROSINO	08D	KLOE	
3230	¹ ABELE	98D	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$ at rest
1077	² AMSLER	95	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \eta$ at rest
81k	LAYTER	73	ASPK	
220k	LAYTER	72	ASPK	
1138	CARPENTER	70	HBC	
349	DANBURG	70	DBC	
7250	GORMLEY	70	WIRE	
526	BAGLIN	69	HLBC	
7170	CNOPS	68	OSPK	
37k	GORMLEY	68C	WIRE	
1300	CLPWY	66	HBC	
705	LARRIBE	66	HBC	

¹ ABELE 98D obtains $a = -1.22 \pm 0.07$ and $b = 0.22 \pm 0.11$ when c (our d) is fixed at 0.06.

² AMSLER 95 fits to $(1+ay+by^2)$ and obtains $a = -0.94 \pm 0.15$ and $b = 0.11 \pm 0.27$.

α PARAMETER FOR $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi^0$

See the "Note on η Decay Parameters" in our 1994 edition, Phys. Rev. D**50**, 1 August 1994, Part I, p. 1454. The value here is of α in $|\text{matrix element}|^2 = 1 + 2\alpha z$.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

-0.0315±0.0015 OUR AVERAGE

-0.0301 ± 0.0035	$+0.0022$	512k	AMBROSINO	10A	KLOE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
-0.027 ± 0.008	± 0.005	120k	¹ ADOLPH	09	WASA	$pp \rightarrow pp\eta$
$-0.0322 \pm 0.0012 \pm 0.0022$	3M	² PRAKHOV	09	CRYB	$\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$	
$-0.032 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002$	1.8M	² UNVERZAGT	09	CRYB	$\gamma p \rightarrow p\eta$	
$-0.026 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$	75k	BASHKANOV	07	WASA	$pp \rightarrow pp\eta$	
$-0.010 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.010$	12k	ACHASOV	01C	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta\gamma$	
-0.031 ± 0.004	1M	TIPPENS	01	CRYB	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$, 720 MeV	
$-0.052 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.010$	98k	ABELE	98C	CBAR	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow 5\pi^0$	
-0.022 ± 0.023	50k	ALDE	84	GAM2		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.038 ± 0.003	$+0.012$	1.34M	³ AMBROSINO	08D	KLOE
-0.32	± 0.37	192	BAGLIN	70	HLBC

BROWDER	97B	PR D56 5359	T.E. Browder <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABEGG	96	PR D53 11	R. Abegg <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
WHITE	96	PR D53 6658	D.B. White <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
AMSLER	95	PL B346 203	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KRUSCHE	95D	ZPHY A351 237	B. Krusche <i>et al.</i>	(TAPS + A2 Collab.)
ABEGG	94	PR D50 92	R. Abegg <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
AMSLER	93	ZPHY C58 175	C. Amsler <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
KESSLER	93	PRL 70 892	R.S. Kessler <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES2 Collab.)
PLOUIN	92	PL B276 526	F. Plouin <i>et al.</i>	(Saturne SPES4 Collab.)
BARU	90	ZPHY C48 581	S.E. Baru <i>et al.</i>	(MD-1 Collab.)
ROE	90	PR D41 17	N.A. Roe <i>et al.</i>	(ASP Collab.)
WILLIAMS	88	PR D38 1365	D.A. Williams <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
AIHARA	86	PR D33 844	H. Aihara <i>et al.</i>	(TPC-2 γ Collab.)
BARTEL	85E	PL 160B 421	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
LANDSBERG	85	PRPL 128 301	L.G. Landsberg	(SERP)
ALDE	84	ZPHY C25 225	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP)
Also		SJNP 40 918	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP)
		Translated from YAF 40 1447.		
WEINSTEIN	83	PR D28 2896	A.J. Weinstein <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Ball Collab.)
BINON	82	SJNP 36 391	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
Also		Translated from YAF 36 670.		
DAVYDOV	81	NC 71A 497	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
Also		LNC 32 45	V.A. Davyдов <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
		SJNP 33 825	V.A. Davyдов <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP+)
DZHELYADIN	81	PL 105B 239	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 33 822	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 33 1534.		
ABROSIMOV	80	PL 91B 195	A.T. Abrosimov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 31 371.		
DZHELYADIN	80	PL 94B 548	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 32 516	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32 998.		
DZHELYADIN	80B	PL 97B 471	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 32 518	R.I. Dzhelyadin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 32 1002.		
BUSHNIN	78	PL 79B 147	Y.B. Bushnin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
Also		SJNP 28 775	Y.B. Bushnin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from YAF 28 1507.		
MARTYNOV	76	SJNP 23 48	A.S. Martynov <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
		Translated from YAF 23 93.		
JANE	75	PL 59B 99	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC)
JANE	75B	PL 59B 103	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC)
Also		PL 73B 503	M.R. Jane	
		Erratum in private communication.		
BROWMAN	74B	PRL 32 1067	A. Browman <i>et al.</i>	(CORN, BING)
DAVIES	74	NC 24A 324	J.D. Davies, J.G. Guy, R.K.P. Zia	(BIRM, RHEL+)
DUANE	74	PRL 32 425	A. Duane <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, SHMP)
JANE	74	PL 48B 260	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC, SUSS)
JANE	74B	PL 48B 265	M.R. Jane <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOWC, SUSS)
KENDALL	74	NC 21A 387	B.N. Kendall <i>et al.</i>	(BROW, BARI, MIT)
LAYER	73	PR D7 2565	J.G. Layter <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
THALER	73	PR D7 2569	J.J. Thaler <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
AGUILAR-...	72B	PR D6 29	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BLOODWO...	72B	NP B39 525	I.J. Bloodworth <i>et al.</i>	(TNTO)
LAYER	72	PRL 29 316	J.G. Layter <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
THALER	72	PRL 29 313	J.J. Thaler <i>et al.</i>	(COLU)
BASILE	71D	NC 3A 796	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, STRB)
STRUGALSKI	71	NP B27 429	Z.S. Strugalski <i>et al.</i>	(JINR)
BAGLIN	70	NP B22 66	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, MADR, STRB)
BUTTRAM	70	PRL 25 1358	M.T. Buttram, M.N. Kreisler, R.E. Mischke	(PRIN)
CARPENTER	70	PR D1 1303	D.W. Carpenter <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE)
COX	70B	PRL 24 534	B. Cox, L. Fortney, J.P. Golson	(DUKE)
DANBURG	70	PR D2 2564	J.S. Danburg <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
DEVONS	70	PR D1 1936	S. Devons <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, SYRA)
GORMLEY	70	PR D2 501	M. Gormley <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BNL)
Also		Thesis Nevis 181	M. Gormley	(COLU)
BAGLIN	69	PL 29B 445	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, UCB, MADR, STRB)
Also		NP B22 66	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, MADR, STRB)
HYAMS	69	PL 29B 128	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
ARNOLD	68	PL 27B 466	R.G. Arnold <i>et al.</i>	(STRB, MADR, EPOL+)
BAZIN	68	PRL 20 895	M.J. Bazin <i>et al.</i>	(PRIN, QUKI)

BULLOCK	68	PL 27B 402	F.W. Bullock <i>et al.</i>	(LOUC)
CNOPS	68	PRL 21 1609	A.M. Cnops <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, ORNL, UCND+)
GORMLEY	68C	PRL 21 402	M. Gormley <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BNL)
WEHMANN	68	PRL 20 748	A.W. Wehmann <i>et al.</i>	(HARV, CASE, SLAC+)
BAGLIN	67	PL 24B 637	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, UCB)
BAGLIN	67B	BAPS 12 567	C. Baglin <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, UCB)
BALTAY	67B	PRL 19 1498	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, STON)
BALTAY	67D	PRL 19 1495	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BRAN)
BEMPORAD	67	PL 25B 380	C. Bemporad <i>et al.</i>	(PISA, BONN)
Also		Private Comm.	I. Ion	
BILLING	67	PL 25B 435	K.D. Billing <i>et al.</i>	(LOUC, OXF)
BUNIATOV	67	PL 25B 560	S.A. Bunyatov <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, KARL)
CENCE	67	PRL 19 1393	R.J. Cence <i>et al.</i>	(HAWA, LRL)
ESTEN	67	PL 24B 115	M.J. Esten <i>et al.</i>	(LOUC, OXF)
FELDMAN	67	PRL 18 868	M. Feldman <i>et al.</i>	(PENN)
FLATTE	67	PRL 18 976	S.M. Flatte	(LRL)
FLATTE	67B	PR 163 1441	S.M. Flatte, C.G. Wohl	(LRL)
LITCHFIELD	67	PL 24B 486	P.J. Litchfield <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, SACL)
PRICE	67	PRL 18 1207	L.R. Price, F.S. Crawford	(LRL)
ALFF-...	66	PR 145 1072	C. Alff-Steinberger <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, RUTG)
CLPWY	66	PR 149 1044	C. Baltay	(SCUC, LRL, PURD, WISC, YALE)
CRAWFORD	66	PRL 16 333	F.S. Crawford, L.R. Price	(LRL)
DIGUGNO	66	PRL 16 767	G. di Giugno <i>et al.</i>	(NAPL, TRST, FRAS)
GRUNHAUS	66	Thesis	J. Grunhaus	(COLU)
JAMES	66	PR 142 896	F.E. James, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE, BNL)
JONES	66	PL 23 597	W.G. Jones <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL)
LARRIBE	66	PL 23 600	A. Larrike <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, RHEL)
FOSTER	65	PR 138 B652	M. Foster <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, PURD)
FOSTER	65B	Athens Conf.	M. Foster, M. Good, M. Meer	(WISC)
FOSTER	65C	Thesis	M. Foster	(WISC)
PRICE	65	PRL 15 123	L.R. Price, F.S. Crawford	(LRL)
RITTENBERG	65	PRL 15 556	A. Rittenberg, G.R. Kalbfleisch	(LRL, BNL)
FOELSCH	64	PR 134 B1138	H.W.J. Foelsche, H.L. Kraybill	(YALE)
KRAEMER	64	PR 136 B496	R.W. Kraemer <i>et al.</i>	(JHU, NWES, WOOD)
PAULI	64	PL 13 351	E. Pauli, A. Muller	(SACL)
BACCI	63	PRL 11 37	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
CRAWFORD	63	PRL 10 546	F.S.Jr. Crawford, L.J. Lloyd, E.C. Fowler	(LRL+)
Also		PRL 16 907	F.S. Crawford, L.J. Lloyd, E.C. Fowler	(LRL+)
ALFF-...	62	PRL 9 322	C. Alff-Steinberger <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, RUTG)
BASTIEN	62	PRL 8 114	P.L. Bastien <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
PICKUP	62	PRL 8 329	E. Pickup, D.K. Robinson, E.O. Salant	(CNRC+)
